arXiv:1608.04945v1 [math.MG] 17 Aug 2016

# A Note on Koldobsky's Lattice Slicing Inequality

Oded Regev*

**Abstract**

We show that if $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an origin-symmetric convex body, then there exists a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$|K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{y}^\perp| / |K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \geq \min(1, c \cdot d^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1/(d-1)}) ,$$

for some absolute constant $c > 0$, where $\mathbf{y}^\perp$ denotes the subspace orthogonal to $\mathbf{y}$. This gives a partial answer to a question by Koldobsky.

## 1 Introduction

The following question was asked by Koldobsky during the 2013 AIM workshop on "Sections of convex bodies."

**Question 1.1.** *Is it the case that for all $d \geq 1$ there exists an $\alpha = \alpha(d) > 0$ satisfying the following: for all origin-symmetric convex bodies $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that* $\mathrm{span}(K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{R}^d$ *there exists a nonzero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$|K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{y}^\perp| / |K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \geq \alpha \cdot \mathrm{vol}_d(K)^{-1/d} .$$

In other words, the question asks to find a dimension $d-1$ subspace that contains at least an $\alpha \cdot \mathrm{vol}_d(K)^{-1/d}$ fraction of the lattice points in $K$. The requirement on the span is in order to avoid degenerate cases of bodies of very small volume that would force $\alpha$ to be small.

Alexander, Henk, and Zvavitch [AHZ15] gave a positive answer to this question by showing that one can take $\alpha = C^{-d}$ for some absolute constant $C$. They also showed that for the special case of unconditional bodies $K$ one can take $\alpha = c/d$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$, and observed that this is tight (as follows by taking $K$ to be the cross-polytope $\mathrm{conv}(\pm\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \pm\mathbf{e}_d)$). It remains an open question whether one can take $\alpha = c/d$ for general bodies. In this note we show that this is the case for bodies whose volume is at most $C^{d^2}$ for any constant $C > 0$ (see Theorem 3.1 for the full statement). We refer to [AHZ15] for further background on Koldobsky's question and its connection to the slicing problem of Bourgain.

**Acknowledgements**

I am grateful to Assaf Naor for suggesting that I look at Koldobsky's question.

*Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. Supported by the Simons Collaboration on Algorithms and Geometry and by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. CCF-1320188. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

## 2 Orthogonal lattice points

We use the convention that $c$ is an arbitrary absolute positive constant which might differ from one occurrence to the next. A *lattice* $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as the set of all integer linear combinations of $d$ linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The *dual lattice* of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathcal{L}^* = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}\}$. For any $s > 0$, we define the function $\rho_s : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ as $\rho_s(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 / s^2)$. For a discrete set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $\rho_s(A) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in A} \rho_s(\mathbf{x})$ and denote by $D_{A,s}$ the probability distribution assigning mass $\rho_s(\mathbf{x})/\rho_s(A)$ to any $\mathbf{x} \in A$. Recalling that the Fourier transform of $\rho_s(\cdot)$ is $s^d \rho_{1/s}(\cdot)$, the following is an immediate application of the Poisson summation formula.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s > 0$,*

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) = (\det \mathcal{L})^{-1} s^d \cdot \rho_{1/s}(\mathcal{L}^*) \ .$$

*In particular,*

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) \geq (\det \mathcal{L})^{-1} \cdot s^d \ ,$$

*or equivalently,*

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{y} \sim D_{\mathcal{L},s}} [\mathbf{y} = 0] \leq (\det \mathcal{L}) \cdot s^{-d} \ .$$

The following is another easy corollary of the Poisson summation formula (already used in [Ban93]), and holds because $\rho_s$ is a positive definite function, i.e., a function with a non-negative Fourier transform.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $s > 0$, and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L} + \mathbf{x}) \leq \rho_s(\mathcal{L}) \ .$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *For any lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $s > 0$, and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$,*

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{y} \sim D_{\mathcal{L}^*,s}} [\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0] \geq \Pr_{y \sim D_{\mathbb{Z}/\|\mathbf{x}\|,s}} [y = 0] = \rho_{s\|\mathbf{x}\|}(\mathbb{Z})^{-1} \geq c \cdot \min(1, (s\|\mathbf{x}\|)^{-1}) \ .$$

*Proof.* We start with the first inequality. Clearly, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ takes integer values. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set of points $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathcal{L}^*$ with $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = i$ is either empty or a translation of $\mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathbf{x}^\perp$ whose affine span is at distance $i/\|\mathbf{x}\|$ from the origin. The $\rho_s$ mass of this set is obviously zero in the former case and at most $\rho_s(i/\|\mathbf{x}\|)\rho_s(\mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathbf{x}^\perp)$ in the latter by Lemma 2.2 and the product property of $\rho_s$. The inequality follows. The last inequality is an easy calculation. □

## 3 Application to Koldobsky's question

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an origin-symmetric convex body. Then there exists a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that*

$$|K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{y}^\perp| / |K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \geq \min(1, c \cdot d^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1/(d-1)}) \ .$$

We note that this bound improves on that of Alexander et al. [AHZ15] for any body whose volume is at most $c^{d^3}$.

*Proof.* By John's theorem (see, e.g., [Bal97]), there exists a linear transformation $T$ of determinant 1 so that $TK$ has circumradius at most $d \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{1/d}$. Therefore, by considering the body $TK$ and the determinant 1 lattice $T\mathbb{Z}^d$, it suffices to prove the following: for any origin-symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with circumradius at most $d \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{1/d}$, and any lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}^*$ such that

$$|K \cap \mathcal{L} \cap \mathbf{y}^\perp| / |K \cap \mathcal{L}| \geq \min(1, c \cdot d^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1/(d-1)}) \, .$$

Notice that if $\mathrm{vol}(K) < d^{-d}$ then the circumradius of $K$ is less than 1, in which case $\mathcal{L} \cap K$ is not full dimensional (by Hadamard's inequality and $\det \mathcal{L} = 1$). As a result, we can choose a vector $\mathbf{y}$ so that $K \cap \mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{y}^\perp$, making the quotient above 1. We therefore assume from now on that $\mathrm{vol}(K) \geq d^{-d}$.

We will use a simple application of the probabilistic method. Namely, let us choose $\mathbf{y}$ from the distribution $D_{\mathcal{L}^*,s}$ where $s = C \, \mathrm{vol}(K)^{1/(d(d-1))} \geq 1$ for a large enough absolute constant $C > 0$. Then, by Corollary 2.3, for any fixed $\mathbf{x} \in K \cap \mathcal{L}$, the probability that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ is at least

$$c \cdot (sd \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{1/d})^{-1} = c \cdot d^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1/(d-1)} \, .$$

Let $p$ denote the latter quantity. It follows that the expected fraction of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ in $K \cap \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ is at least $p$. Moreover, by Markov's inequality, with probability at least $p/2$ over the choice of $\mathbf{y}$, the fraction of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ in $K \cap \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ is at least $p/2$. To complete the proof, notice by Lemma 2.1 that the probability that $\mathbf{y} = 0$ is $s^{-d} < p/2$. Therefore, there is a positive probability over the choice of $\mathbf{y}$ that $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$ and moreover, that the fraction of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ in $K \cap \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ is at least $p/2$. This completes the proof. □

## References


[AHZ15] Matthew Alexander, Martin Henk, and Artem Zvavitch. A discrete version of Koldobsky's slicing inequality, 2015. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.02702`.

[Bal97] Keith Ball. An elementary introduction to modern convex geometry. In *Flavors of geometry*, volume 31 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 1–58. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Ban93] Wojciech Banaszczyk. New bounds in some transference theorems in the geometry of numbers. *Mathematische Annalen*, 296(4):625–635, 1993.